

**From:** Charles Stanley  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 12/25/01 8:45am  
**Subject:** microsoft

Dear Sirs,

I am but consumer I am not however a lawyer, a rabid Anti-Microsoft person nor a employee of any company working against or for microsoft.

In my opinion the current settlement does not go far enough which is sad to say as I us and prefer microsoft operating systems right now I am using windows 2000.

I would like to suggest you consider an alternative to the issue with Microsoft as follows though.

Instead of totally breaking Microsoft up instead could you consider the following suggestions so please put up with me a little bit as I know I am not as smart as the people that work at the DoJ that practice law

First the MSN division and all the divisions directly under the MSN division should be separated from Microsoft forming a new entity

Next the Internet Explorer browser should be separated into a totally separate entity that is builds a browser sort of like what is being done with Netscape except where MS has no say so in how it is run.

Now we come to the heart of the matter the windows 9x code that is the heart of the kernel of the windows 95, 98, 98SE and ME operations systems should be released under General Public License so other parties could use it. after all they have claimed they have abandoned the 9x kernel for the NT kernel.

This would sort of be similar to what is done regarding the Linux operating system and I think this would foster more competition in the market place.

Let Microsoft keep the NT kernel which is the heart of the windows 2000 and Windows XP and let them keep the Microsoft Office and other programs.

In this way I think it would solve the worst problems Microsoft causes yet still leave a viable company.

Sincerely,

Charles D. Stanley